1

2

3

4

5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7

8 UNITED STATES,   No. CR 07-00732 SI

9   Plaintiff,   **ORDER RE: SEALING OF DOCUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S**

10   v.   **MOTION *IN LIMINE***

11 BARRY LAMAR BONDS,

12   Defendant.
                                            /
13

14   Defendant's motions to exclude evidence are calendared for hearing on February 5, 2009.

15 Defendant moved to file under seal exhibits A, B, and C in support of the first motion. The government

16 opposed defendant's motion to seal. This Court granted the motion to seal pending further discussion

17 of the matter at the February 5 hearing. On January 30, 2009, the Court received a letter from press

18 representatives requesting that the sealing order be lifted and documents be placed on the public docket

19 immediately.

20   Having reviewed the issues raised in the recent correspondence, the Court agrees with the press

21 representatives that defendant has not demonstrated that release of these documents would impair his

22 Sixth Amendment right to a fair trial and is inclined to agree that defendant will not be able to make this

23 showing. *See, e.g.*, *Associated Press v. U.S. Dist. Court for the Cent. Dist. of Cal.*, 705 F.2d 1143, 1146

24 (9th Cir. 1983) (concerns over pretrial publicity may warrant sealing of court filings if defendant can

25 establish a substantial probability of irreparable damage to a defendant's fair-trial right, that alternatives

26 to sealing will not protect that right, and that sealing will be an effective means of protecting against the

27 perceived harm) (internal citation omitted). Accordingly, the Court will order the documents unsealed

28 on the morning of February 4th unless defendant files a brief before that time demonstrating that the

factors laid out in *Associated Press v. U.S. Dist. Court for the Cent. Dist. of Cal.* have been met.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 2, 2009

SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge